

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/626,014 07/24/2003 Robert J. Flathau SSP-30492-C EXAMINER 22202 09/09/2004 WHYTE HIRSCHBOECK DUDEK S C SAFAVI, MICHAEL 555 EAST WELLS STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1900** MILWAUKEE, WI 53202 3673

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				, ,	
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•	
		10/626,014	FLATHAU, ROBERT J.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		M. Safavi	3673		
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
THE MAILING DATE OF T - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma - If the period for reply specified abov - If NO period for reply is specified at Failure to reply within the set or extension	"HIS COMMUNICATION. e under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 iiling date of this communication. ve is less than thirty (30) days, a repl bove, the maximum statutory period ended period for reply will, by statute er than three months after the mailin	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE and date of this communication, even if timely filed.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	i.	
Status					
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on 24 J	ulv 2003.			
2a) This action is FINAL		s action is non-final.			
<u> </u>	<i>'</i> —				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-39</u> is/are	pending in the application	on.			
4a) Of the above clair	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-39</u> is/are	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-39</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are s	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not requ	est that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
		ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob		l).	
11) The oath or declaration	on is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9				
a) All b) Some * c 1. Certified copie 2. Certified copie 3. Copies of the c application from	c) None of: s of the priority document s of the priority document certified copies of the prio m the International Burea	ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTC		4) Interview Summary			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/626,014

Art Unit: 3673

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 38-51 have been renumbered 26-39, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 26-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Foy.

Foy discloses, Figs. 1-8, using dimensioned form-work panels 7 with a corner bracket 1 in making a dimensioned building member. An outer surface of the bracket body, as along 3 or 4 or 5, contacts the form-work panels and is connected thereto. The outer surface of he bracket is configured to impart a shape to the building member as along 2. The recitation of "U.S.-dimensioned" or "metric-dimensioned" does not define any structure or feature differing from what is disclosed within Foy. The terms "U.S.-

Art Unit: 3673

dimensioned" and "metric-dimensioned" only serve to define any given dimension. The corner bracket of Foy can be utilized with any given dimension of panel, (i.e., any given dimension of length, width, and thickness). Therefore, no differentiation is made between the language of the instantly rejected claims and Foy. The rejected method claims merely define a corner bracket assembled to a panel or panels. Indeed, Foy discloses a plurality of dimensions of building member as, for example, in Figs. 5, 6, and 7.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 26-39 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 15, 17, 20, 22-24, and 26-30 of copending Application No. 10/189,617 in view of either of Riehl or Podgurski. Claims 26-39 of the instant application define the invention presented in claims 15, 17, 20, 22-24, and 26-30 of copending Application No. 10/189,617 minus the

Page 4

Art Unit: 3673

specific reference to a chamfered building member, assembling using clamps, bolts, or pins, and the bracket having a body and leg that are not symmetrical. However, each of Riehl and Podgurski disclose providing a bracket for forming a chamfered building unit, assembling using bolts, 34 of Podgurski, or pins, 5 of Riehl, and providing an asymmetrical bracket. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to add to the invention defined by claims 15, 17, 20, 22-24, and 26-30 of copending Application No. 10/189,617 a bracket surface to create a chamfered building member, the step of attaching the bracket to the forms utilizing bolts or pins, and the step of providing an asymmetrical bracket, to thus form a building unit with an aesthetic appearance as well as securely attach the bracket to the form boards.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Safavi whose telephone number is (703) 308-2481. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL SAFAVI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 354